#### Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On:

The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To:

Waikato Regional Council

**401** Grey Street **Hamilton East** Private baa 3038 Waikato Mail Center **HAMILTON 3240** 

Complete the following

Full Name: Poula & Kon Woods

Phone (Hm): 07 8767425

Phone (Wk): 021 293 7797

Postal Address: 539 Wholkapirou Rood RD 8 Te Kuth 3988

Phone (Cell): As above

Postcode: 3988

**Signature** 

pkwoods@ovcon.net.nz..

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them. we fail on an isolated couldn't on the south

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

side of the Kowhia Harbour. All our district is sheep & book kill country. We have a very strong

a supportive conjunity which I have seen in very few other places on NZ = probably due to our remote location. If this plan goes through in

Its current state the effect on our community would be

firmcially devastating

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
State specifically what Objective,	State:	Give:
Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	<ul> <li>whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1;</li> <li>brief reasons for your views.</li> </ul>	precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Provision .	I support oppose and for each whether or not you wish to amend	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below
Schedule B- Nthogen Reference Birt.	The reasons for this are:  I appear this as it would totally restrict any form productivity of forming system to what we convertly have. We are post may through a developing our farm or hove not yet reached its potential.  The world it also locks us into very little frexibility to adjust shock classes when the season (eg draught) or markets change.  The productivity of adjust shock classes when the season (eg draught) or markets.  Change. The season severally upact us	As an alternative I propose  The notinger reference point should be notably excluded for sheep!  beef formers. "One size does not fil all" in this case.  If goes against the PC 1 objective NO 2 - "Notationing economic it said weatherng in the licrogram as you are putting the livelihood
	financially if the nithagen reference point was extacted.	of the sheep to been industry under threat.

"The specific provisions of the proposal that this submission relates to and the decisions it seeks from

Council are as detaile! d in the following table. The outcomes sought and the wording used is as a

suggestion only, where a suggestion is proposed it is with the intention of 'or words to that effect'. The

outcomes sought may require consequential changes to the plan, including Objectives, Policies, or

other rules, or restructuring of the Plan, or parts thereof, to give effect to the relief sought"

Provision

Schodule C-Stock Exclusion I support/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish o amend

The reasons for this age:

In intensive forming applotions with slopes of < 15% I agree stock exclusion is the best aption.

Once 15% slope this is a morginal aption that would put huge cost on any forming applotions with no proven benefit to mater quality. This is especially the cose on hill contry forms where stock units bectore are a lot lower.

One open the cost of stock exclusion as it

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

Rounce the requirement to lience motorways over 15% stope.

As an other atrice - water systems (he troughts) rould be used in prodocitis where the slope is 715%.

This is against & objective 2 py in PCI as it is having out town less sustainable financially in the largetown.

currettly stords in PC1 is a financial

with no economic perofit.

burden very few hill country torms could sustain

Provision
Possible conversion flou
Faruing to Follewhy - Long Form
Policy 7 - Reporting for
Attachtics to the future

Policy 5 - Stoged Approach

I support oppose and for each whether or not you wish to amend—

The reasons for this are: 🔍

1 appear the piousias on the left flow PCI that do not give use certainty post-the 10 year period.

For example. If we had to retire sections of our form in these after the 10 year period - why would we want to Make the current aprilal in realment of fearing all our workerways?

ine have no collider we can not make any plans beyond 10 yrs as PCI convenily stords.

if large area) of our coostal hill country.

district is required to be planted in pine;

many families will leave our district.

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

The 1st stape in my plan implemented standard and allogor period—30 upons for example.

Is a much bare workable period where we can plan alread with long term strategues such as remaining blocks.

he will no longer have the "vibrant community resilience "- that is retemed to in objective 4 of PC1.

**Provision** 

3.11.4.3

Fam Environment Pion

Leupport/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are:

A capulsary envanced plan to all forms over a certain size is a good Heo. He his form owners we all want to leave our film in accepted state the best state possible for the nover soon even Soudines we got cought up in the doubt objut inning of the form & don't look of the big picture or the long-term was to what we tope to achieve tre form environment plans a necessary tool to work us audicate what is support of breakdown how he are gam to och we that step bu step

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend  The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below  As an alternative I propose

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend  The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below  As an alternative I propose

Yours sincerely

Signature

Daté