

Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SHEET	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
		Submission Number	
Entered		Initials	
File Ref		Sheet 1 of	

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SUBMISSIONS CAN BE	
Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy also
Emailed to	healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz Please Note: Submissions received my email must contain full contact details. We also request you send us a signed original by post or courier.
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers
We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.	

YOUR NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS			
Full name	Penny Gooding		
Full address	122 Punga punga Rd RD1 Tuakau		
Email	shekinah@ps.gen.na	Phone	0210580283
		Fax	

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF SUBMITTER			
Full name	Penny Gooding		
Address for service of person making submission	122 Punga punga Rd RD1 Tuakau		
Email	shekinah@ps.gen.nz	Phone	0210580283
		Fax	

PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SUBMISSION
<input type="checkbox"/> YES I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.
<input type="checkbox"/> I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

SIGNATURE

OF

SUBMITTER

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

Signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Signature P Gooding

Date 8/3/2017

Personal information is used for the administration of the submission process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

SUBMISSION POINTS: General comments

We own 108 Punga punga Rd Pukekawa which is 22 ha predominately market garden land and leased out.

I am concerned about the following issues with PC1

There seems to be uncertainty as to who is responsible for what regarding lease land.

Also in the future the consequences of the plan if we want to change from market garden to grazing.

I support the submission that has been lodged by Federated Farmers. I am particularly concerned about the following aspects of Plan Change 1:

- The significant negative effect on rural communities
- The cost and practicality of the rules.
- The effect that the Nitrogen Reference Point will have on my business and my economic wellbeing.
- The Farm Environment plan requirements leading to unnecessary and costly regulation of inputs, outputs, normal farming activity and business information
- The costs and practicality of the rules and requirements for stock exclusion, the Nitrogen Reference Point and the Farm Environment Plan.
- The timeframes for complying with the Nitrogen Reference Point rules which are too short and unachievable
- The plan significantly exceeding the 10 year targets in many attributes and areas
- The lack of science and monitoring at the sub catchments level

I wish to be heard at the Hearing.

I am concerned about the implications all of this will have for my property and for my current activity as described above. I set out my concerns more specifically in the table below.

SUBMISSION POINTS: Specific comments

Page No	Reference (e.g. Policy, or Rule number)	Support or Oppose	Decision sought Say what changes to Plan Change 1 you would like	Give Reasons
40	Rule 3.11.5.2 Permitted Activity Rule – Other farming activities			
41	Rule 3.11.5.3 Permitted Activity Rule – Farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme	OPPOSE	Amend 3.11.5.3 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	<p>This proposal will impose significant costs on my farming activities</p> <p>As the property is leased out who is responsible for the FEP.</p> <p>I am also concerned that this is not practical because obtaining all the information required will be difficult or unobtainable.</p>

Page No	Reference (e.g. Policy, or Rule number)	Support or Oppose	Decision sought Say what changes to Plan Change 1 you would like	Give Reasons
42	Rule 3.11.5.4 Controlled Activity Rule – Farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan not under a Certified Industry Scheme	OPPOSE	Amend 3.11.5.4 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	This proposal will impose significant costs on my farming activities. If the 75% Nitrogen reduction applies what affect will that have on a change of farming activity that could reduce the loss of contaminates from the land anyway.
44	Rule 3.11.5.5 Controlled Activity Rule – Existing commercial vegetable production		Who is responsible when the land is leased, the owner or the lessee?	
45	Rule 3.11.5.7 Non-Complying Activity Rule – Land Use Change	OPPOSE	Amend 3.11.5.7 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	This proposal will impose significant costs on my farming activities if we were to convert what are the consequences if we cannot??? Limits our flexibility, therefore growth innovation, and reduces land value. Unaffordable to increase land area rather than intensify. I am also concerned that this is not practical because of the above. This would affect the local economy i.e loss of jobs and money spent locally thus affecting the well being of the rural community I live in.
46	Schedule A: Registration with Waikato Regional Council			

Page No	Reference (e.g. Policy, or Rule number)	Support or Oppose	Decision sought Say what changes to Plan Change 1 you would like	Give Reasons
47	Schedule B: Nitrogen Reference point	OPPOSE	Amend Schedule B as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	<p>This proposal will impose significant costs on my farming activities.</p> <p>Nitrogen loss restrictions will have an impact on my ability to fund a change in my farming system so that I can put other things in place to reduce losses of sediment, phosphorous or pathogens, i.e planting</p>
50	Schedule C: Stock Exclusion	OPPOSE	Amend Schedule C as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	<p>Fencing waterways would be an added cost and impractical as they could cause an environmental issue by inability to clean the drains.</p> <p>I</p>

Page No	Reference (e.g. Policy, or Rule number)	Support or Oppose	Decision sought Say what changes to Plan Change 1 you would like	Give Reasons
51	Schedule 1: Requirements for Farm Environment Plans	OPPOSE	Amend Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission.	<p>This proposal will impose significant costs on my farming activities firstly the cost of obtaining a FEP with a limited number of people licensed to issue them..</p> <p>I am also concerned with cultivation not allowed above 15degrees, even if things are put in place to mitigate any sediment loss to waterways.i.e plantings of trees etc.</p>