Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To: Waikato Regional Council

401 Grey Street Hamilton East Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Center HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name: Peter Nichol.

Phone (Hm): 09 2334311.

Phone (Wk):

Postal Address: R.D. 1, Tuakau 2696.

Phone (Cell): 0274433942.

Postcode: 2696 -

Email: Afps a farmside. co. n. 2.

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission. 🗸

P. R. Wiehol 17/2/17. Signature date



The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	 State: whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; brief reasons for your views. 	precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Provision Objective 1: Long term restoration and protection of water quality for each Subcatchment and freshwater management cenit.	Isupport/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are: I support this objective provided my other points in this submission are taken into account.	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below As an alternative I propose .

Introduction.

This introduction is a brief overview about ourselves, our farm and how we operate.

The farm is a family farm crured by
Peter and Aneta Nichol. The property is en
two titles that total 125 hectores and
They boundary one another. Contour is varied
and ranges from flats to gentle fattening
country, medium hill and a small amount of
steeper ountry.

The g form fattens beef steers and heifers and also grazes dairy herfers. The average cattle numbers carried would be around 300, but can very from 150-400 depending on season, cattle markets etc.

depending on season, couttle markets etc.

Around 2002-2003 we voluntarily fenced two areas of native bush to exclude the couttle with no assistance from Councils. These two areas are also the start or headwaters of the start or headwaters

fuo areas are also the start or headwaters of two small creeks.

We like to think we are environmentally aware of the affects our operation has on the environment and support improvements in water quality but these improvements must be able to be implemented practically and affordably.

Thankyou.

Peter Nichol. P. R. Niehol.

Provision

Policy 1: sediment and microbial pathogens.

I support oppose and for each whether or not you wish

The reasons for this are:

Why only these 4, ie Nitrogen, Manage diffuse discharges why only here it, and of Nitrogen, phosphorus, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens. What about runds from roads, both when and rural. The other pollutants include petrol, diesel car wash chemicals to name a few.

> As proof that agriculture is not the only polluter, Hamilton lake is one of the most polluted lakes in the country with no farms any where near. Game birds seems to care!! here, nobody

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/(amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

More Research by Greneil and or Central Government into other non agricultural pollutants entering waterways. Education of when folk wash your car on the grass not the concrete so chemicals don't go down the drawn into waterways.

I support/oppose/and for each whether or not you wish I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Provision Retained as proposed/amended as set out Policy 16: below Flexibility of development Don 4 agree with this at all As an alternative I propose & land returned under If the Plan goes ahead with Not save of the canswer on this one, perhaps conversions allowed but with lower stocking rates along the lines of what has happened in the Lake Taupo catchment More research needed! the Policy 16 intact all the Te Tiriti o Waitangi good work of other landowners seftlements and will be negated if more large tracts of pine forest and garse scrub land are converted into multiple owned maori land. dairy farming with its accompanying increase of nitrogen leaching.

Provision 3.11.4.3.	I support/oppose and for each whether or not you wish to amend	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/(amended as set out below
Form Environment Pla	ns The reasons for this are: For me, this will be an expense that is unacceptable. The cost of preparing a FEP has been estimated by	As an alternative I propose Amended to a
	showstry experts at anywhere between \$2000 and \$5000. This is a lot of money for our small farming operat	ion. that is not so
	Combine the cost of a FEP with the cost of the required Resource consent and the total cost become even more unpalatable.	Disto the populare
	The detail required in the FEP plan is too compehensive and time consuming.	/
	go ahead the data base that will be created and the accompanions work load will be far too much	
	the present Council stats to hard and will require a massive increasing rates to pay for extra state to hardle the work load.	se

Provision I support (oppose) and for each whether or not you wish I seek that the provision is (Deleted in its entirety, Retained as proposed/amended as set ou to amend Schedule B. Nitrogen Reberence Pointbelow The reasons for this are: This sends the As an alternative I propose stocking rates,

Provision

Schedule C. Stock Exclusion from waterways. I support/oppose) and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are: I for see huge manner duceds take over the creepers. I have personally seen Muerbanks that have had stock Front fishing. Areas of the rive that once provided great tishing

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

I support/(oppose) and for each whether or not you wish I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Provision Objective 1 (continuation). It Retained as proposed/amended as set out (continuation). The reasons for this are: The diffective below As and Long Term restoration of the weeklate and whiper As and and protection of water river being Swimmable all year round is plaenly ridiculous. Substitute for each Abbady surns in the writer objects atchment and Abbady surns in the writer objects. As an alternative I propose year round is plaenly ridiculous. Scale back this
Abbody survis in the writer objective to swimmable
especially abtes heavy rain. during normal or lower
Even rivers in pristine native flows! ienel bush catchments become dirty, discoloured and unswimmable our Until the carp issue is dealt with or a plan made to deal which will be visually obvious

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/Retained as proposed/amended as set out below As an alternative I propose

Yours sincerely	
Signature	Date

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below As an alternative I propose

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are: .	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/Retained as proposed/amended as set out below As an alternative I propose