

PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1 WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS



Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed
Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and
Waipā River Catchments.

Important: Save this PDF to your computer before answering.
If you edit the original form from this webpage, your changes
will not save. Please check or update your software to allow
for editing. We recommend Acrobat Reader.

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SHEET	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
		Submission Number	
Entered		Initials	
File Ref		Sheet 1 of	

SUBMISSIONS CAN BE

Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 <i>Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses</i>
Emailed to	healthyivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz <i>Please Note: Submissions received by email must contain full contact details.</i>
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyivers

We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.

YOUR NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS

Full name: PETER ROBERT ORR YOUNG
Full address: 469 OVERDALE ROAD RD3 PUTARURU
Email: rachelpeteryoung@yahoo.co.nz
Phone: (07) 883 8967 Fax: —

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF SUBMITTER

Full name: PETER ROBERT ORR YOUNG
Address for service of person making submission: 469 OVERDALE ROAD
RD3 PUTARURU
Email: rachelpeteryoung@yahoo.co.nz
Phone: (07) 883 8967 Fax: —

TRADE COMPETITION AND ADVERSE EFFECTS (select appropriate)

I could ~~not~~ could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

I am / am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- (a) adversely affects the environment, and
- (b) does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO

Please state the provision, map or page number e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1 (Continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

Rule 3.11.5.3 page 41
Rule 3.11.5.4 page 42
Rule 3.11.5.7 page 45
Schedule B page 47
Schedule C page 50
Schedule 1 page 51

I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S

(Select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

- Support the above provisions
- Support the above provision with amendments
- Oppose the above provisions

MY SUBMISSION IS THAT

Tell us the reasons why you support or oppose or wish to have the specific provisions amended. (Please continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

As per subsequent sheets.

I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL

(Select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

- Accept the above provision
- Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined
- Decline the above provision
- If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SUBMISSION

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.
 I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.

JOINT SUBMISSIONS

- If others make a similar submission, please tick this box if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND INDICATE BELOW

- Yes, I have attached extra sheets. No, I have not attached extra sheets.

SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER

Signature:

Mo Young

Date:

4/3/17

Personal information is used for the administration of the submission process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change:

RULE 3.11.5.3

page 41

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Support timeframe for Priority 3 sub-catchments.

Amend as per Federated Farmer's submission.

Amend the cultivation slope of less than 15° to 25° as impossible to farm being unable to cultivate, crop, or regrass the land.
Stock exclusion requirements to be inline with new Govt directive for drystock farming.
Drystock farmers treated unfairly with low NRP. This restricts productivity & future development.

Section number of the Plan Change:

RULE 3.11.5.4

page 42

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Support Priority 3 sub-catchment timeframe.

Amend as per Federated Farmers submission

Nitrogen Reference Point (NRP) is far too restrictive on production for drystock farmers.
Stock exclusion of waterways too extreme with prohibitive costs for an unknown result.
Cultivation slope to be increased to 25°.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change:

3.11.5.7

page 45

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Devaluation of our property + its future limitations of land use.

To amend the drystock landuse to enable a Dairy/Sheep+Beef farmer to merge part/ or whole of his drystock unit into his dairy operation.
To enable sale of drystock titles to dairying neighbours with an average regional dairying NRP reference point instead of the limiting low NRP drystock reference point. If there is no change to this NRP drystock issue drystock land (surrounded by dairy farms) is completely devalued.

Section number of the Plan Change:

Schedule B

page 47

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Grossly discriminatory. Dairy farmer can continue to farm with a high NRP level whereas Drystock farmers have nowhere to go.

Nitrogen leaching risk - wider range of years to calculate a fairer NRP reference point. To further reduce nitrogen losses on my already low NRP dairy farm could cause feed deficits in some of the winter months. Drystock - no prospect for future development + profitability land values would drop.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change:

Schedule C - Stock Exclusion page 50

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

The cost of stock exclusion from waterways on dry stock properties would be exorbitant for what known effect? Costings by Federated Farmers for 240 ha could be \$300,000 - \$760,000.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Fencing waterways, less than 15° land 1 metre wide I agree should be fenced. Fencing land greater than 15° - 25° the cost would be prohibitive & I disagree with it being fenced. Water reticulation is the key ingredient to deter stock entering waterways on dry stock farms (I have observed this)

Section number of the Plan Change:

Schedule 1 p. 51

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

Amend Schedule 1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. The intensity of Dairy Farming as opposed to Sheep/Beef farming has significantly greater impact on waterways. There seems an inequity in the NRP allowable labels between the two land uses.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

The FEP will dramatically increase the farming costs especially the fencing requirements for stock exclusion on a dry stock farm. The avoidance of cultivation on slopes more than 15° significantly impacts on regassing & improving pastures. Costs of FEP + NRP set up and implementation are prohibitive.