Introduction letter for Riverheads Ltd.

We are in the western catchment and wish to enter a submission in as concerned neighbours of the Waikato catchment area.

Our property has been family owned for over fifty years. It has provided a lifestyle and income to not only the first and second generation, at given times it also supports the third with a steady platform and the ability to have assistance when needed.

Simply, the property is the foundation of our family.

We feel it is unrealistic to impose the suggested restrictions on the way we farm as there is not enough conclusive evidence to show that the farming policies we use are compromising the water quality.

Our farm is rolling to steep contour, if Plan 1 was passed in it's present form, we would no longer be able to graze the cattle that we rely on as a major part of our annual income. Due to fluctuating markets it would be impossible to meet our costs farming one class of stock.

We all know the importance of clean waterways, but we consider this Plan 1 proposal is not sharing the responsibility evenly between the people of the Waikato region, so it is not fair or cost effective in our opinion.

Managers of Riverheads.
Clint and Adell Roxburgh
Cof Morburgh
A A Roxburgh

Naikato Regional Council

My Father lost his health fighting for his family's right to freedom and for his children and children's children's right to a free New Zealand. Many brave men died for that right, NZ prides itself on a fairness to all. This healthy rivers is far from this criteria, if NZ is to keep its proud heritage of fair too all the whole set up of this healthy rivers must change.

We have a beautiful country and if this is such a big thing as to affect all hill country farmers, then it should be the same rules applied for all the country.

I whole heartily agree water and clear clean water is very important so have independent water testers test all waterways and work out where the damage is being done.

Farmers have tried to look after their land and hill country farmers know the value of clear clean water for their stock, which will pass by a swamp to drink from a running stream when they can. By fencing all running water, swamps will thrive and clear running streams will become few, a hazard in the future cursed by badly gathered information possibly.

As for planting pines, right of cause we as farmers in the twenty odd years while trees grow have no income so we leave our homes and coarse more housing strife by hundreds more wanting homes in town, more needing jobs etc.

The meat we supply will be gone and so will the clear air we as NZ's so pride ourselves that this country has. In pollen time all allergy suffers will have a terrible time and why would tourists want to come when a great deal of what they see is pine trees.

What is the condition of the water coming out of those old established pine blocks, I would like to know? because once pines have been grown the ground has to much turpentine in it and is no good for anything but pines.

Plan 1, in my opinion will leave New Zealand wide open to foreign investment, taking over a great deal of our hill country farms.

If the government has signed to grow pines to the world and has to be seen to be doing this, why don't they ask the farmers to help, I am sure each farmer would willingly plant a acre of pines rather than lose their farms.

The hill country farmer is being made a skape goat for big money concerns, our dairy neighbours have been told to stay quiet about this as they have had money and lawyers get the best deal possible arranged for them and our Maori friends don't have to comply, why? we are all New Zealanders and if it's as important a rule as they say it should be for all New Zealanders.

Managing director of Riverheads Ltd.

Delores Charmoin Creenhalah

DC Greenhalgh

Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To: Waikato Regional Council

> 401 Grey Street **Hamilton East** Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Center HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Riveheads litel Full Name:

Phone (Hm): 07 8710694

Phone (Wk):

Postal Address: 953 Moerangi Rd RDI Oparau

Phone (Cell): 027900 8001

Postcode: 3885

Email: Clintardadellegmail.com

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

Clintis Adell Roxburgh

A Roxburgh 2/3/17

Signature date

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:			
State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	 State: whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; brief reasons for your views. 	precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek			
Provision 3:11:51, 3:11:5:2 3.11:5:3 3:11:5:4 Stock exclusion	Lsupport/ oppose/ and for each whether or nat you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below I seck the provision deleted in As an alternative I propose its entirety.			
Tule	I oppose the progressive exclusion of cattle in our streams and will country. I don't feel that the damage is notable in our property due to our location and the type of water bodies present on it.	The National water Accord only recommends that theams 1.5 mt wide are to be forced of and protected. I would like the waicato Region Council to adopt this approach			

Provision

Policy I and Policy 2 Schedule B Regarding Nitrogen Reference Point

I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend

I oppose

The reasons for this are:

Nitrogen allocation has been used in other regions previously and was unsatisfactory because it served to lower the form value according to it's Nitrogen reference Point. Nitrogen referencing would appear to support the high usess and disadvantage those who are conservative and already conscientions.

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/
Retained as proposed/ amended as set outbelow

I wish the

provision to be deleted in its

As an alternative I propose entirety.

I would be in favour of an F.E.P that would encourage responsible use of nutrients and application guidelines. I believe we need some flexability due to the vasiable's farmers face season to season

Provision

Policy 6
Restricting land
use change rule
3:11:5-7

I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are:

Due to the market
fluctuating and constant
changes, ie: climatic and
world events, we need
to have the freedom to
diversify and meet our
costs.

This policy would discourage future investment opportunities.

Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

I seek the provision deleted As an alternative I propose in its entirety.

I wish to see The National Water Accord used as an alternative to the Strict Land use Change rule.

Provision

Objective 2 Social Economic and cultural wellbeing. I support/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend

I support with amendments The reasons for this are:

I want to have fail that
the Waikcato Regional Council
has been fair and looked into
other industries and considered
the run off from the now
huge urban area's into
our waterways when
considering the source
of pollution, not just
farmis.

I seek that the provision-is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

an otles.

I would like a comon sense appoach and reliable data that is made available for everyone to access.
I want decision's made that don't target one industry just to assist

Provision

Sub catchment 3:11:4:5 I support/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend

I support but wish to a mend.

The reasons for this are:

I think there is a need to identify catchments or sub catchments that have water purity issues.

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/Retained as proposed/amended as set out
below
I Seek the provision amended
As an alternative I propose

I would like to see clear and transparent information available to the public from indespendent water testing facilities to pin point problem area's and the best course of action to deal with and improve those situations.

Provision

Objective 1 and policy 5

I support/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend
I support, but wish to amend.
The reasons for this are:

I support the Objective, I think it is important to have clear healthy rivers and waterways. My concern is that a blanket approach is going to be imposed and it could be financially difficult or even unachievable The reality is that our on farm practice may not be causing water degradation quality

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/
Retained as proposed/ amended as set out
below

Amended as Set out below
As an alternative I propose

I wish to see more creditable science applied to determine the polluted hot spots, so that energy and resourses can be directed at those concentrated area's.

Thank You	for	giving	the	opportunity	10 submit	on the	W.R.C	proposed
Plan Char	cye 1	•		·				, ,
Yours sincerely								

Clint and Adell Roxburgh

Signature

y / 5 / 17