Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To: Waikato Regional Council

401 Grey Street Hamilton East Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Center HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name: Trevor Samuel Murche

Phone (Hm): 07 873 7885

Phone (Wk):

Postal Address: 836 waitons balley Ro

O TO COLLARY C.

Phone (Cell): OTOROHIMA (A

Postcode: 3977.

Email:

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

Signature

date



The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:	The decision I would like the Walkato Regional Council to make is:
State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	State:	Give:
	 whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; 	precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The
	brief reasons for your views.	more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below
	The reasons for this are:	As an alternative propose
		,
The specific provi	sions of the proposal that the seeks from a Council are a sought and the wording used appeal it is with the intenti	is submission relates to
and the decisions i	seeks from a Council are o	is detailed in the following
table. The outcomes	Sought and the wording used	is a suggestion only, where
a suggestions is pr	pased it is with the intenti	on of 'or words to that effect
The outcomes som	the may require consequer	rotal changes to the plan,
	, Policies, or other rules, or	
	to give effect to the relie	

Introduction

My name is Trever Murchie. We farm sheep and best on the waitomo Valley Rd. It is approx 700 acres grass, 300 acres bush - Part of this form has been in my family for 3 generations. My Grandfather bought it and it had a walk through dairy shed. My father made it sheep and beef which continues to this day.

we have a young family and want them to enjoy the lifestyle and freedom that

farming allows.

Recently we purchased another 150 acres. This is relatively run down compared to the other blocks. We are looking to improve it and thereby able to increase stock numbers. Under this plan change this could be difficult.

We are not intensive in dairy farmer terms yet we feel we are being

penalised for this now,

Our major river and some creeks have already been fenced off, also the bush is not just on the steeper sections and gullies. Pockets are everywhere by having chance this done now we are trying to ensure our children can keep swimming in 1t, also we are constantly asked by others if they can go eeling and watercress gathering.

We are asking for some flexibility within this plan so that future generations have some choice with the direction they might wish to take.

Trevor. Thank you

Provision supports oppose and for each whether or not you wish I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ to amend Retained as proposed/amended as set out below The reasons for this are: - Restricts farming practices. As an alternative I propose Schedule B Nitrogen Reference Point. - 2 seasons of drought resulting using the past 20 years as in lower than normal stock a guide for NRP. numbers. - No flexibility. - Use a subcatchment to in the past we have cropped 3 years in a row but not manage the contaminants in the area. the last 4. - Overseer not the right programme waips nitrogen not an issue. Penalises less intensive fairners 15545 Rostrict Palm Kernal to eg Palm Kernal albus high stocking rates on unsuitable feed pads I sheds etc. Not paddock feeding. iand. - Land will devalue.

Provision I support oppose and for each whether or not you wish I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ to amend Retained as proposed/amended as set out below The reasons for this are: Schedule C. Stock Exclusion As an alternative I propose - Impractical - Huge cost involved as lots of areas are not natural . Use the national standards at least for the fencing off of waterways. fencing lines. Also organg costs Also individual streams/ - Our farm has reticulated creeks would be water. assessed on your Plan - We already have fenced off areas.

Provision

Policy 6.

Land Use Change

I support / oppose and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are:

- . Land values decrease with the restrictions | ability to improve our farming practice.
- Years of reference 2014 -> 2016 not enough as 2 of these seasons were in a drought. Stock numbers down.
- Some years we crop
- Personal choice
 Ratio of cottle to sheep
 should be flexible according
 to seasons/weather and/or
 payouts.
- Future saleability

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

- Assess my land on an individual basis for it's own capabilities. This could be part of Faim Environment Pan.

Schedule 1. Requirement for Farm Environment Plans.	Isupport/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend. The reasons for this are: -Based on own farm capabilities. What is sustainable for my farm?	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out below As an alternative I propose Not larg enough to get It dans properly. - Cost is prohibitive Some form of reimbursener
---	--	---

Provision

Policy 16. Flexibility for development - Water quality is water of bod returned under quality. Settlements and multiple owned Maori Land.

I support (oppose) and for each whether or not you wish

The reasons for this are:

- Te Tiviti O waitangi no matter who owns it the rules should be the same for everyone.

I seek that the provision (s: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

Same rules for everyone.

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below As an alternative I propose

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below As an alternative I propose

Yours sincerely

Trevor Murchie.

Signature

Date